



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,571	03/22/2004	Mark Gibson	<del>08-20699541</del> <b>80-20702767</b>	2207

  

EXAMINER	
ALVESTEFFER, STEPHEN D	

  

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2175	

  

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
11/07/2008	PAPER

27383 7590 11/07/2008  
CLIFFORD CHANCE US LLP  
31 WEST 52ND STREET  
NEW YORK, NY 10019-6131

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



### Interview Summary

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/806,571	GIBSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Alvesteffer	2175	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Stephen Alvesteffer, examiner of record. (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) Hanna Madbak, for applicant. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Maudlin (US 2004/0075697).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative described the invention and discussed proposed amendments. Upon cursory review of the cited prior art, the recited claims will appear to overcome the prior art of record if amended so that an object that is removed from view consists of a single face. Further search and examination would be conducted upon receipt of an official response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Stephen Alvesteffer/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2175